1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney			
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division			
4	JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorney			
5	450 C 11 C 4 A			
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Talankana (415) 436, 7333			
8	Telephone: (415) 436-7232 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12				
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.) Criminal No. CR 08-0606 SI			
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Criminal No. CR 08-0606 SI Plaintiff,)			
16)			
17	v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME			
18	MICHAEL OKUN,			
19	Defendant.			
20				
21				
22	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on March 27, 2009, for trial setting.			
23	The defendant was represented by Ted Cassman, Esq., and the government was represented by			
24	Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was continued to June 15, 2009, at			
25	8:30 a.m. for trial and other pretrial dates were set.			
26	The Court made a finding that the time from and including March 27, 2009, through and			
27	including June 15, 2009, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.			
28	§ 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0606 SI			

interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until June 15, 2009, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED:	March 30, 2009	/s/	
		TED CACCMANI	

TED CASSMAN Counsel for Michael Okun

DATED:	March 30, 2009	/s/
		JEFFREY R. FINIGAN

Assistant U.S. Attorney

So ordered.

DATED:

DATED:

SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0606 SI